

H.G. Wells' Open Conspiracy realized

1/19/2010, 10:58:49 AM

freerepublic.com

From the beginning I realized it too voluminous a work to cite all the evidence contained in H.G. Wells' book 'The Open Conspiracy' which supported a thesis that today we are in latter stages of it. However, what follows is a sufficient citation of evidence to support that conclusion.

Never before in the history of the world has mankind possessed such great troves of knowledge. And although this vast and ever increasing encyclopedia of knowledge has brought with it immense benefits and manifold hopes, it has also brought with it many and great perils. The advent of this astounding collection and consolidation of knowledge, and the possible repercussions, were perceived more than a century ago by such visionaries as Jules Verne and others whose conceptions were expressed through science-fiction novels.

Other pioneers of science-fiction soon followed, among whom was H.G. Wells. Although Wells wrote his highly acclaimed novels before the First World War, this catastrophic event had such a profound affect upon Wells, he spent virtually the rest of his life in an effort to change the prevailing mentality of civilization in hopes of saving it. It was Wells' belief that the civilizations of the world would either unite and become one, while continuing to evolve and advance to higher levels, or face global annihilation in the future.

Even before the First World War, **Wells had already grasped** the concept of nuclear energy and the power of an atomic bomb writing in detail about it in his book **The World Set Free**. In this book, published in 1914, Wells depicts a scenario in which world capitals, cities, and governments are devastated by nuclear war. All that remains is global chaos and anarchy until the majority of those remaining rise up together to form a global socialist society. In this newly formed world republic, the nation-state ceases to exist as a sovereign entity and as a result war becomes superannuated. From this point on, all of the world's forces, powers, and resources are consolidated and managed to work for the benefit and advancement towards a Utopian cosmopolitan society---albeit, under the authoritarian rule of intellectual elites. This same theme, in which the world is brought to the brink of total destruction and then redeemed by a one world order, repeats itself throughout many of Wells' writings.

To Wells, this scenario was not science fiction. Realizing the speed at which civilization was modernizing, and perceiving world governments incapable of keeping up with, or controlling technological advancements, it was his belief that the only hope for mankind lie within the creation of the **New World Order** and in 1939 he wrote a book by that very title. This book followed another written a decade earlier wherein Wells laid out, not only a concept of a global order, but a plan to facilitate its creation.

The earlier book entitled **The Open Conspiracy: Blue Prints For A World Revolution**, as implied by the title, contained a strategy for convergence of all sympathetic parties into formation of a social revolt. The objective of this social revolution was to overthrow traditional moral values, systems, principles, and establishments, with the goal of supplanting them. The book is in effect the blueprints for a culture war that would over time radically change world governments and put in place a new world order. **The Open Conspiracy Today**

It is by knowing Wells' Open Conspiracy, we can begin to understand our current society, its cultures and subcultures, their origins and how they have evolved. Even more importantly, we can know where we stand currently, and as well, comprehend the repercussions and ultimate consequences which will ensue as a

result of these social changes.

One must keep in mind when reading 'The Open Conspiracy' that although this *progressive* movement materialized, it did not do so in the name of 'The Open Conspiracy', and many adherents of the movement are unwitting participants who are unfamiliar with the term. Wells himself realized this would be the case and stated that he was not creating anything, but rather, participating in an existing movement which he hoped to help organize:

“Hundreds of thousands of people everywhere are now thinking upon the lines foreshadowed by my Open Conspiracy, not because they had ever heard of the book or phrase, but because that was the way thought was going.” - H.G. Wells

Decades ago, Wells forecasted of a movement consisting of divers entities, which embracing *progressive* worldviews and uniting in an organized effort, would revolt against traditional values, beliefs, and culture, in an attempt to overthrow existing educational and political institutions and supplant them.

What exactly is the Open Conspiracy?

Most of what follows will be Wells' own words, followed by comments placing Wells' writings in juxtaposition to concepts, entities, events, and the words of others who are shaping our world today. [Inserted comments will appear in brackets as thus] **1928- The Open Conspiracy in the words of H.G. Wells:**

Wells wrote,

“In this book we are not starting something; we are describing and participating in something which has started. It arises naturally and necessarily from the present increase of knowledge” - [Wells is referencing a movement towards *progressive* worldviews which are beginning to form and take hold, especially in intellectual circles during his time. This book is his "blueprint" in which he attempts to unite and organize these social forces by defining what this movement must and must not consist of, its objectives, and means of achievement]

“Fundamentally the Open Conspiracy must be an intellectual rebirth.” - [As Wells expounds it becomes clear, "*rebirth*" involves a war against traditions, moral values, established religion, and governmental institutions - the old must be razed in order to lay the foundations for *rebirth* of a new *enlightened* world order]

Wells - “The Open Conspiracy is not to be thought of as a single organization; it is a conception of life out of which efforts, organizations, and new orientations will arise.” - [it is not a conspiracy per se in the traditional sense, rather, it is a cultural mass movement of radical *progressive* ideas, aggressive by nature, openly woven into the fabric of society to take control]

“... the Open Conspiracy must be heterogeneous in origin. Its initial groupings and associations will be of no uniform pattern.” - [It is a conglomeration of separate organizations, societies, orientations, special interest, and other divers groups, who all share sympathies for, and cooperate together towards implementation of a common socialist agenda]

“... The League of Nations movement [U.N. predecessor], the Birth Control movement, and most radical and socialist societies, are fields into which Open Conspirators may go to find adherents more than half prepared for their wider outlook. The Open Conspiracy is a fuller and ampler movement into which these

incomplete activities must necessarily merge. . .” - [Merge forces, yet, as separate entities; under one name they are one target, but their political power is as a movement of separate groups working as a collective force]

“. . . The Open Conspiracy itself can never be imprisoned and fixed in the form of an organization. . . .” - [It is not single a entity, it is a mass movement driven by *progressive* philosophies integrated within society; it is a cultural revolution]

“. . . There should be many types of groups. Collective action had better for a time - perhaps for a long time - be undertaken not through the merging of groups but through the formation of ad hoc associations for definitely specialized ends, all making for the new world civilization . . . we must insist that the idea of the Open Conspiracy ever becoming a single organization must be dismissed from the mind. It is a movement, yes, a system of purposes, but its end is a free and living, if unified, world.” - [Wells describes the convergence of multitudes of various entities: NGOs, think-tanks, "watch-dog" groups, international organizations, and others, into a concentrated effort, "all making for the new world civilization"]

“It is that there already exist in the world [in 1928] a considerable number of movements in industry, in political life, in social matters, in education, which point in the same direction as the Open Conspiracy and are inspired by the same spirit.” [early formulation of the modern *progressive* movement noted]

“The Open Conspiracy, the world movement for the supersession or enlargement or fusion of existing political, economic, and social institutions must necessarily, as it grows, draw closer and closer to questions of practical control. It is likely in its growth to incorporate many active public servants and many industrial and financial leaders and directors. It may assimilate great masses of intelligent workers.” [Politicians in concert with corporations and financial institutions consolidating and merging power as change agents to re-engineer society and impose global control]

“While the Open Conspiracy is no more than a discussion [in 1928] it may spread unopposed because it is disregarded. As a mainly passive resistance to militarism it may still be tolerable. But as its knowledge and experience accumulate and its organization become more effective and aggressive, as it begins to lay hands upon education, upon social habits, upon business developments, as it proceeds to take over the organization of the community, it will marshal not only its own forces but its enemies.” [a forecast of polarization in society and a culture war]

“.....the movement we contemplate must from the outset be diversified in its traditions and elements and various in its methods. It must fight upon several fronts and with many sorts of equipment. It will have a common spirit, but it is quite conceivable that between many of its contributory factors there may be very wide gaps in understanding and sympathy. It is no sort of simple organization.” [“celebrate diversity” and embrace multi-culturalism - These many fragmented and odd groups of diverse types for various reasons collectively create the support base of the radical left]

“.....it must be necessarily not a class development, but a convergence of many different sorts of people upon a common idea.” [ditto, all sympathizers welcome]

“Free Trade nationalism in power is better than high tariff nationalism, and pacifist party liberalism better than aggressive party patriotism.”

“The Open Conspiracy is the natural inheritor of socialist and communist enthusiasms; it may be in control of Moscow before it is in control of New York.” [Russia may adjust from one authoritarian to another easier]

than U.S. citizens would adopt authoritarian rule, on the other hand, an Open Conspiracy would enjoy more movement, find more toleration, and be able to push the boundaries of subversion and sedition further in New York or Washington, than in Moscow]

“The Open Conspiracy rests upon a disrespect for nationality, and there is no reason why it should tolerate noxious or obstructive governments because they hold their own in this or that patch of human territory.” [Supreme regard for the for international institutions and authority, e.g. : U.N., World Court, deference to international treaties and laws over national sovereignty]

“All the weight of the Open Conspiracy will be on the side of the world order and against that sort of local independence which holds back its subject people from the citizenship of he world.” [international declarations of condemnation, but in the latter stages, loss of sovereignty under threat of international sanctions or military action, international mob rule]

“ To avoid the positive evils of war and to attain the new levels of prosperity and power that now come into view, an effective world control, not merely of armed force, but of the production and main movements of staple commodities and the drift and expansion of population is required. It is absurd to dream of peace and world-wide progress without that much control.” [global fascism]

“The Open Conspiracy is a creative, organizing movement and not an anarchistic one. It does not want to destroy existing controls and forms of human association, but either to supersede or amalgamate them into a common world directorate. If constitutions, parliaments, and kings can be dealt with as provisional institutions, trustees for the coming of age of the world commonweal, and in so far as they are conducted in that spirit, the Open Conspiracy makes no attack upon them.” [surrender of national sovereignty to the world commonweal; nations exist conditionally as global states in a World Federation]

Commentary

Wells' Open Conspiracy is envisioned as a social mass movement of many individuals, groups, organizations, and various other entities within society. These unite and consolidate power, engaging in a social revolution to gradually supplant traditions, values, culture, and foundational beliefs. The objective is to establish a popular worldview with enough influence to gradually gain total control of political and governmental institutions.

Is this not the agenda of the innumerable NGOs and PVOs such as George Soros's Open Society, moveon.org, and countless other *progressive* organizations, as well as major media outlets and the entertainment industry?

Joining in this effort to re-engineer society are mastodonic charitable foundations such as the Ford, Rockefeller, Carnegie and other billionaire philanthropist organizations and foundations. These work in conjunction with, the United Nations, the Council on Foreign Relations, the Club of Rome, and other global think tanks and organizations - And, if that is not enough, they also have political power and influence through the U. N. and a multitude of national politicians whom they support and control. These are all funded by huge international ever merging corporations whose financial interest and loyalties lie with a global agenda.

The Modus Operandi

Continue Wells' Open Conspiracy,

“...[The] first phase of the Open Conspiracy [begins] as the propaganda of a group of interlocking ideas, a

propaganda associated with pacifist action.....the second phase, we conceive of the Open Conspiracy as consisting of a great multitude and variety of overlapping groups, but now all organized for collective political, social, and educational as well as propagandist action.” [e.g. : special interest groups, lobbyists, activist organizations]

“...But unlike conspiracies in general this widening protest and conspiracy against established things would, by its very nature, go on in the daylight, and it would be willing to accept participation and help from every quarter. It would, in fact, become an “Open Conspiracy,” a necessary, naturally evolved conspiracy, to adjust our dislocated world.” [not only is it open, it is propagated by the media 24/7]

“The Open Conspiracy against the traditional and now cramping and dangerous institutions of the world must be an Open Conspiracy.....It is lost if it goes underground. Every step to world unity must be taken in the daylight with the understanding sympathy of as many people as possible.....” [public activism devoted to socialism]

“This evokes the anticipation of another series of groups, a group in every possible political division, whose task it will be to organize the whole strength of the Open Conspiracy in that division as an effective voting or agitating force. In many divisions this might soon become a sufficiently considerable block to affect the attitudes and pledges of the national politicians.” [community organizations, activist groups, special interests, lobbyists, all infecting the body politic]

“This candid attempt to take possession of the whole world, this Open Conspiracy of ours, must be made in the name of and for the sake of science and creative activity.....creative activity implies a competent regulation of the economic life in the collective interest.” [science being, e.g.: evolution, global warming, population control, environmentalism, etc.; creativity being, e.g.: global economic control, international welfare, global socialism/fascism]

The political work of the Open Conspiracy must be conducted upon two levels and by entirely different methods. Its main political idea, its political strategy, is to weaken, efface, incorporate, or supersede existing governments. But there is also a tactical diversion of administrative powers and resources to economic and educational arrangements of a modern type. [objectives: Create and use bureaucratic agencies to divert revenues to welfare, social services, and government programs in order to gradually supplant the private sector and the standing government]

“The Open Conspiracy will also be dissolving and repudiating many existing restrictions upon conduct and many social prejudices.” [gay agenda and sexual revolution, legalized prostitution, drug legalization, and a general normalization of pleasurable vices]

“Whenever possible, the Open Conspiracy will advance by illumination and persuasion. But it has to advance, and even from the outset, where it is not allowed to illuminate and persuade, it must fight.” [radical activism, revolt, treason]

“Non-resistance, the restriction of activities to moral suasion is no part of the program of the Open Conspiracy. In the face of unscrupulous opposition creative ideas must become aggressive, must define their enemies and attack them. By its own organizations or through the police and military strength of governments amenable to its ideas, the movement is bound to find itself fighting”

“...a common disposition towards the ideas of the Open Conspiracy, could cease to arm against each other and still exert enough strength to impose disarmament and a respect for human freedom in every corner of the planet. It is fantastic pedantry to wait for all the world to accede before all the world is pacified and policed.” [a paradox of disarming, and yet, by force imposing disarmament]

Patriotism and the anti-war Movement

From the outset, the Open Conspiracy will set its face against militarism. There is a plain present need for the organization now, before war comes again, of an open and explicit refusal to serve in any war - or at most to serve in war, directly or indirectly, only after the issue has been fully and fairly submitted to arbitration.....And a refusal to participate with one's country in warfare is a preposterously incomplete gesture unless it is rounded off by the deliberate advocacy of a world pax, a world economic control, and a restrained population, such as the idea of the Open Conspiracy embodies."

"The fundamental organization of contemporary states is plainly still military, and that is exactly what a world organization cannot be. Flags, uniforms, national anthems, patriotism sedulously cultivated in church and school, the brag, blare, and bluster of our competing sovereignties, belong to the phase of development the Open Conspiracy will supersede." [Common modern liberal disdain for patriotism and defense]

".....professional soldiers, for example, have a certain traditional honorableness which disguises the essentially parasitic relationship of their services to the developing modern community. Armies and armaments are cancers produced by the malignant development of the patriotic virus under modern conditions of exaggeration and mass suggestion.....the Open Conspiracy should develop within itself the competence to resist military coercion and combat and destroy armies that stand in the way of its emergence."

The American exaggeration of patriotism began as a resistance to exploitation from overseas.....Flag worship was imposed primarily as a repudiation of Europe. Europe no longer looms over America [1928] with overpowering intimations, American industries no longer have any practical justification for protection. . ." [Really? Think 12 years later, Germany, Japan, early 1940s]

".....There is little or no effort to restrain the aggressive nationalist when he waves his flag against the welfare of our race, or to protect the children of the world from the infection of his enthusiasms. And this last is as true now of the American system as it is of any European State." [Wells bemoans American patriotism. In his later book *The New World Order* he writes, "*I do not know how sane men in America are going to set about relaxing the stranglehold of the Constitution, get control of their own country. . . .*" It is now apparent an inroad has been found through activist judges in the U.S. judicial system and in treaties with foreign entities]

"In nearly every country of the world there is, in deference to the pretended necessities of a possible war, a vast degrading and dangerous cultivation of loyalty and mechanical subservience to flags, uniforms, presidents, and king . . . The Open Conspiracy is necessarily opposed to all such implacable loyalties, and still more so to the aggressive assertion and propaganda of such loyalties" [The "pretended necessities" of self defense would soon come in quite handy between 1940-1945]

"The world commonweal will need its own scientific methods of protection so long as there are people running about the planet with flags and uniforms and weapons, offering violence to their fellow men and interfering with the free movements of commodities in the name of national sovereignty." [Wells hated the military and national defense and advocated disarmament, except for the commonweal's own "scientific methods of protection" - Wells imagined using social control techniques which he termed "Social Psychology." This science would become the "soul of the race" by which society would create and impose a universal conscience and systematically retrain the masses---creepy]

"The anticipatory repudiation of military service, so far as this last may be imposed by existing

governments in their factitious international rivalries, need not necessarily involve a denial of the need of military action on behalf of the world commonweal for the suppression of nationalist brigandage" [Wells opposes defensive measures of sovereign states, however, envisions an international peace keeping force to quell rebellion and unrest around the world- a common modern philosophy] **Population and Birth Control, and Global Social Welfare**

"Now the most comprehensive conception of this new world is of one politically, socially, and economically unified. Within that frame fall all the other ideas of our progressive ambition." [the Open Conspiracy is a political, social, economic, and universal *progressive* movement---big government on a global scale]

"....we are writing for the modern-minded, and for them it is impossible to think of the world as secure and satisfactory until there exists a single world commonweal, preventing war and controlling those moral, biological, and economic forces and wastages that would otherwise lead to wars. [There are many proponents of this ideology today. On Oct. 31, 2006 Hillary Clinton appeared before the Council on Foreign Relations and denounced unilateralism stating: " ... *I think it's fair to say we are now all internationalists.*" Likewise, in a PBS interview in Sept. 2000 CFR member George Soros, called for the same, "*We must mobilize civil society in favor of international law and international institutions.*" - Soros is the founder of the Open Society Institute]

"The security of creative progress and creative activity implies a competent regulation of the economic life in the collective interest. There must be food, shelter and leisure for all. The fundamental needs of the animal life must be assured before human life can have free play." [a social welfare world-state based on *progressive* ideology and supported by global taxation]

"Nature ensures a pressure of population through passions and instincts that, given sufficient knowledge, intelligence, and freedom on the part of women, can be satisfactorily gratified and tranquillized, if need be, without the production of numerous children. Very slight adjustments in social and economic arrangements will, in a world of clear available knowledge and straightforward practice in these matters, supply sufficient inducement or discouragement to affect the general birth rate or the birth rate of specific types as the directive sense of the community may consider desirable" [planned parenthood, abortion centers, eugenics, and social engineering]

"Intelligent control of population is a possibility which puts man outside competitive processes that have hitherto ruled the modification of species, and he can be released from these processes in no other way. There is a clear hope that, later, directed breeding will come within his scope.....the world community of our desires, the organized world community conducting and ensuring its own progress, requires a deliberate collective control of population as a primary condition." [The UN, multitudes of NGOs, political and international organizations vigorously support this agenda, Wells would be proud]

"The reasonable desire of all of us is that we should have the collective affairs of the world managed by suitably equipped groups of the most interested, intelligent, and devoted people." [an authoritarian world state ruled by an oligarchy of elitist described by Plato as "philosopher kings" - But who decides who the most intelligent are, or what intelligence is, the elitists such as George Soros whose Open Society meets the criteria of a member organization in Wells's Open conspiracy?]

Comments

When envisioning a world such as this, one might do well to consider others in the past who held similar philosophies and ambitions:

‘.....we shall create an unprecedented centralization which will unite all powers in the hands of the government we shall create a hierarchical constitution, which will mechanically govern all movements of individuals.’ - Point #25 from the Nazi Party's 25 point constitution.

A New Godless Religion

When reading the chapters in *The Open Conspiracy* which relate to religion, it is easier to grasp Wells' conceptions if one understands his background as a student of evolutionary biology under the man known as "Darwin's Bulldog", **T. H. Huxley**.

Wells' perceptions of religion are based on evolution, and the modern religion to which he refers is a Godless one. He does acknowledge mankind's spiritual impulses and the power of them, nevertheless, he believes God and traditional religions to be but primitive inventions through which these impulses express themselves.

He does not, however, wish to abolish religion but to convert its inspirational forces into constructive uses. Wells envisions a new atheistic religion of enlightenment, which through a natural process, will gradually supersede present forms.

It was his belief that as man evolved to the next level of awareness he would shed the mythical and superstitious beliefs which were merely crude symbols of an undeveloped spirituality. As this gradual awakening took place traditional religions would become outdated and fade out of existence. All of the energy, devotion, and hope that in the past found expression through religion would then be expended on a modern religion based on science and reason.

An intrinsic flaw of Wells' modern religion is that its morality rests solely upon the inherent goodness of man; thus, morality would be destined to become arbitrary lacking an omnipresent all knowing Enforcer.

Wells' view on religion

“There was no Creation in the past, we begin to realize, but eternally there is creation; there was no Fall to account for the conflict of good and evil, but a stormy ascent. Life as we know it is a mere beginning.”
[physical, social, intellectual, and religious evolution]

“We have still barely emerged from among the animals in their struggle for existence. We live only in the early dawn of human self-consciousness and in the first awakening of the spirit of mastery.” [a spiritual evolutionary *enlightenment* of the new age]

“It seems unavoidable that if religion is to develop unifying and directive power in the present confusion of human affairs it must adapt itself to this forward-looking, individuality-analyzing turn of mind; it must divest itself of its sacred histories, its gross preoccupations, its posthumous prolongation of personal ends. The desire for service, for subordination, for permanent effect, for an escape from the distressful pettiness and mortality of the individual life, is the undying element in every religious system.” [Wells believes religious impulses are intrinsic, potentially constructive, however, these impulses are in their primitive stages and are held in bondage to traditional beliefs and religious symbolism which pervert them - to Wells, man is *God* but he has yet to fully evolve]

“Religion is the antagonist of self” [man needs an inner antagonist (conscience) to refrain from complete self service, religion provides this; Wells grasped that and imagined to adapt this aspect of devotion, self control and benevolence to an atheistic worship of mankind in pursuit of a greater common cause]

"The time has come to strip religion right down to that, to strip it for greater tasks than it has ever faced before. The histories and symbols that served our fathers encumber and divide us. Sacraments and rituals harbor disputes and waste our scanty emotions...The first sentence in the modern creed must be, not "I believe," but "I give myself." [A self sacrificing religious devotion to secular humanism and evolutionary advancement]

"As our eyes are opened to these things, we see ourselves as beings greater or less than the definitive self. Man's soul is no longer his own. It is, he discovers, part of a greater being which lived before he was born and will survive him. The idea of a survival of the definite individual with all the accidents and idiosyncrasies of his temporal nature upon him dissolves to nothing in this new view of immortality" [All of mankind together as one collective immortal body, each person is but a cell which dies, but new cells are born to take their place and the body continues on - a modern new age god ever evolving and ascending]

Conclusion

The so called *progressive* movement today is the movement Wells anticipated, considered, wrote extensively about, and worked towards. He also believed it would come with or without his work, for as he stated, it was the nature of the way things were going.

It is impossible to know the impact of Wells' personal efforts on today's society, however, much of what is, and what takes place was calculated by Wells, but not by Wells alone - Many others who followed Wells have shared the same ideas; not because they knew Wells, or heard of him, or read his work, but because they shared the same spirit which lead them to the same natural conclusions.

Fellow Englishman John Lennon who otherwise would have little in common with Wells, represents the diversity of character within the movement; yet, even with substantial differences, he and others were revolutionary in it and propelled it forward. This is precisely what Wells predicted. Lennon put the essence of the movement in the lyrics of his song Imagine:

**"Imagine there's no countries It isn't hard to do Nothing to kill or die for And no religion too
Imagine all the people Living life in peace...**

.....Imagine all the people Sharing all the world...

**You may say I'm a dreamer But I'm not the only one I hope someday you'll join us And the world
will live as one"**

The Open Conspiracy is a spiritual movement. There is no single cabal in a cigar-smoke filled room in Rome, Amsterdam, or Paris secretly scheming to take over the world. There are multitudes of *cabals*, some competing, some working together in association, in networks, or independently, many of whom are disconnected and have no idea they are a *cabal*. They are merely doing their jobs, or promoting agendas and ideas they believe in. Some have no personal desire for power other than to see the world, such as they imagine, would provide them with more comforts and pleasures.

On the other hand, there are those who are obsessed with controlling society, are fully aware of what they are doing, and every move is calculated with specific designs. This is not a monolithic movement, but there is one thing which they all share in common, and that is, they all are delusional and are deceived into believing that there will be peace when the world is one under a universal system of laws.

What H.G. Wells and all others of this persuasion fail to realize is that the divisions between men are not lines drawn on the globe by some surveyor, they are divisions drawn by principles inside of man, some of

which are irreconcilable between them. The only possible way to maintain any freedom or peace at all in the world is to draw lines of division, create boundaries and protect the freedoms and liberties that lie within them. You cannot spread freedom by capitulation to tyranny or surrendering sovereignty to international law or opinion. A united world would not necessarily mean it would be a good or free world ; for a united world will still be forever divided, standing upon feet of clay mingle with iron, which shall only crumble under the weight of it, bringing all to ruin.

by *R.A. Sprinkle*

;;;